

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,445	08/21/2006	Sergio Bodini	105515-4000	5159
***************************************			IINER	
			SINGH, SATYENDRA K	
1700 K STREI WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, 50 2000		1657	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com mwalker@winston.com

	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/566,445 BODINI ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

This application is abandoned in view of:
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>30 November 2009</u>.</li> <li>A reply was received on</li></ol>
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
(c) A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-95).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice</li> </ul>
Allowance (PTOL-85).
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:
See Continuation Sheet
/JON P WEBER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1657
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
US. Peterri and Todermist Office
Notice of Abandonment
Part of Paper No. 20100608

Item 7 - Other reasons for hoding abandonment: Applicant's attorney of record Raymond Van Dyke (phone- 202-326-0300) was telephonically contacted by the examiner on June 8th (81-325 PM) in order to enquire about the status of this polication after 6 months of the final rejection that has been mailed on 11/30/09 by the office, and no response from applicants has been received. In the absence of the attorney (who no longer works there), a voice mail to the docketing dept. was left explaining the above. Hower, no response was received. A second telephonic call was made by the examiner on June 14th 2010, and this time a messege was left for attorney Greg Sebald, who according to the law firm, was to be the in charge of this application. However, as of date, no response from attorney's office has been received. Since, the statutory deadline of 6 mo, for the response has expired, this application is deemed to be abandoned by applicants.

/Satyendra K. Singh/ Examiner, AU 1657